## **FUDA EMPIRICAL EVALUATION Development Experience Questionnaire (Used Documentation)**

NOTE: This is a fillable PDF file. If you are not using a PDF writer, please make sure to print this file to a PS or PDF file to not lose the information.

| Name:   | S17   | Date: August 29, 2008    |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Concep  | ot:   | ☐ JFace – Content Assist |  |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Eclipse – Navigate  | ☐ Eclipse – Table Viewer |  |  |  |  |
| Q.1:  | Were you able to implement the concept su   | uccessfully?             |  |  |  |  |
| Q.2:  | How much time did you spend on the concept's implementation? 27 minutes                             |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Q.3:  | If not successful to implement the concept, what was the main reason in your opinion?               |                          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☐ Lack of experience.</li> <li>☐ Not useful documentation.</li> <li>☐ Not useful sample applications.</li> <li>☐ Complexity of the concept.</li> <li>☐ Other. Please specify:</li> </ul> |   |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Q.4:  | Did you refer to the example applications' source code to implement the concept?  No. None of them. |                          |  |  |  |  |
|   | <b>Q.4.1:</b> If yes, for what program statements and what kind of information?                     |                          |  |  |  |  |

| Q.5:  | How many documentations did you use in this experiment?  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|-------|--|----------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|
|       | None   | e.                               | Only one. Please specify: Creating         | g Eclipse Views   | Two.               |  |
| Q.6:  | For each documentation, please specify which parts of the documentation did you read   |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       | Doc1:  | None                             | Only Relevant Parts                        | A Big Portion     | n All of that      |  |
|       | Doc2:  | None                             | Only Relevant                              | A Big Portion     | n All of that      |  |
| Q.7:  | -  |                                  | nd all the required tin the provided docum |                   | ✓ Yes              |  |
|       | ed documentation?  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       |  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       |  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       |  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       |  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       |  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       |  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
| Q.8:  | -  | ou able to easily documentation? | access the desired i                       | nformation in the | ☐ Yes 🗾 No         |  |
|       | <b>Q.8.1:</b> I  |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
|       | The documentation assumed that I was reading it from start to finish, and was implementing things in a linear order. As a result, it referred to methods, concepts, etc. that were discussed in previous sections, but did not give any section references. This meant that I would do a lot of hunting. For example, I went straight to the Context Menu section, and in the middle of implementing had to figure out what an "Action" was, and how to create Action items. |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
| Q.9:  | In vour  | oninion was the o                | locumentation concise                      | enough?           | ✓ Yes  No          |  |
|       | <ul><li>Q.9: In your opinion, was the documentation concise enough?  Yes</li><li>Q.10: Overall, in the range of 1-5, how do you rank the provided documentation in tenusefulness to implement the concept?</li></ul>   |                                  |  |                   |                    |  |
| V.10. |  |                                  |  |                   | nation in terms of |  |
|       |  | Not 2                            | <b>2</b> 3                                 | <u> </u>          |                    |  |

**Q.11:** Do you have any additional comments on this experiment?

The presence of example code in the documentation was a huge help. Without it, my implementation would have been a lot slower.