

# FUDA EMPIRICAL EVALUATION

## Development Experience Questionnaire (Used Documentation)

**NOTE: This is a fillable PDF file. If you are not using a PDF writer, please make sure to print this file to a PS or PDF file to not lose the information.**

Name: S16 Date: 17 August 2008

Concept:            JFace – Context Menu            JFace – Content Assist  
                          Eclipse – Navigate            Eclipse – Table Viewer

**Q.1:** Were you able to implement the concept successfully?    Yes    No

**Q.2:** How much time did you spend on the concept's implementation? 70 minutes

**Q.3:** If not successful to implement the concept, what was the main reason in your opinion?

Lack of experience.

Not useful documentation.

Not useful sample applications.

Complexity of the concept.

Other. Please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

**Q.4:** Did you refer to the example applications' source code to implement the concept?

No. None of them.    Yes. One of them.   Please    Yes. Both of them.  
specify: \_\_\_\_\_

**Q.4.1:** If yes, for what program statements and what kind of information?

**Q.5:** How many documentations did you use in this experiment?

None.

Only one.

Two.

Please specify: Creating Eclipse Views

**Q.6:** For each documentation, please specify which parts of the documentation did you read?

Doc1:  None

Only Relevant  
Parts

A Big Portion

All of that

Doc2:  None

Only Relevant  
Parts

A Big Portion

All of that

**Q.7:** Were you able to find all the required information for implementing the concept in the provided documentation?  Yes  No

**Q.7.1:** If not, what kinds of information were missing in the provided documentation?

**Q.8:** Were you able to easily access the desired information in the provided documentation?  Yes  No

**Q.8.1:** If not, what were the difficulties?

It was a long document. For understanding the material I had to read a big portion of the document. Sometimes you need to read a lot of unrelated material to figure out the concept.

**Q.9:** In your opinion, was the documentation concise enough?  Yes  No

**Q.10:** Overall, in the range of 1-5, how do you rank the provided documentation in terms of usefulness to implement the concept?

1 = Not  
Useful

2

3

4

5 =  
Excellent

**Q.11:** Do you have any additional comments on this experiment?

The document was good. It had described the material and had some useful examples. Going through examples helps a lot in terms of understanding the material. The bad thing about these kind of documents is that it takes long for you to read it completely.